



Wool Parish Plan – Action Plan 2011

(incorporating Bovington, East Burton and Wool Village)



Wool Parish Plan - Action Plan

Incorporating Bovington, East Burton & Wool village

Letter from the Chairman of Wool Parish Plan Steering Group

September 2011

Hello Residents of Wool Parish

The Way Forward

The Wool Parish Plan has been undertaken by a small group of volunteers who have dwindled in number as the process has extended over a considerable number of years. I wish to extend my thanks to all those involved throughout this time, and to stress that the time and effort by all persons involved have been freely given in both senses of that word. We have also benefited from the advice and support offered by Dorset Community Action and by the community officers of Purbeck District Council. My thanks to them.

The outcome of our Parish Plan process is the **Wool Parish Action Plan** attached to this letter. Now that it has been approved and adopted the **Wool Parish Action Plan** is 'owned' by Wool Parish Council that has the initial responsibility of ensuring that the **Actions** contained within it are implemented. However the group that has produced your Parish Plan has been independent from the Parish Council, although we have had up to two Parish Councillors as members of the group. As residents of Wool Parish (Wool village, Bovington and East Burton) it will now be your responsibility, using the **Action Plan** they have approved and adopted, to lobby your Parish Council, your District Councillors and your County Councillor to ensure that these **Actions** are carried out. Finally it is vital that this plan is recognised as a 'living document' which will evolve and change as new issues come to the fore. I therefore invite anyone who feels strongly about any new (or missed!) issue to push strongly for its inclusion in the evolving Wool Action Plan. Likewise any items important to you in the current plan that are not taking place should be pursued with vigour! Don't forget that any resident has the right to attend Parish Council meetings and speak to the council before they commence their agenda.

Summary of the Parish Plan Process

We were in receipt of a small grant from government determined by a formula, which, because of the way it was constructed, greatly disadvantaged our enterprise. Our large parish received in the region of 90p per resident, whereas some much smaller Dorset parishes received five times this funding per head of population!

The questions we used were generated from issues identified by groups of residents. In 2007 our questionnaire was delivered to every household within Wool Parish and we received just over a 50% return i.e., more than 1,000 documents. Members of the group (we did not have funds to employ the professional unit based at Bournemouth University) then carried out the time consuming and necessary steps of data entry, cross checking of these data, amalgamation into one big table; all necessary before we could analyse our findings. Two group members had professional contacts that were generous in providing the help and expertise necessary to design an Excel spreadsheet suitable for our needs and we also had group members experienced in the use of such spreadsheets. Without such expertise we would have found it difficult/impossible to deal effectively with such a huge quantity of data.

The **Results Document**, showing the findings from these analyses, was distributed to all households in 2009, and using the responses from this, and the questionnaire, the **Wool Parish Action Plan** was put together. We made an initial presentation of the **Action Plan** at Wool Carnival 2010. However it is vital that all residents are aware of the elements of the **Wool Parish Action Plan** and that publication is not restricted to a Wool Village centred event. The Parish Plan group has therefore decided to distribute this to all households so that residents are able to use it as a point of reference for the effective actions which should result from its adoption by Wool Parish Council.

It is unfortunate that, although we have the ability to identify the geographical areas within the parish from which our questionnaires were returned, we were constrained in exploring issues particular to these locations: i.e., Bovington E; Bovington W; East Burton; Wool E and Wool W. These data exist! Anybody interested?

In Conclusion

The present team's involvement with the Wool Parish Plan process is complete. It only remains for me to thank, once again, all that have given of their time, effort and skills to bring the plan to this point. Most particularly the group thanks all those residents of our Parish who took the time and care to fill in the questionnaire, and from whose opinions and wishes the **Wool Parish Action Plan** is derived. It will fall to others to carry this plan forward and make it both a truly living and evolving expression of residents' ambitions for their Parish, but also a continuing reference for concerned individuals or groups to make their case on important issues for our Parish.

Barry Shephard

WOOL PARISH PLAN - ACTION PLAN

Incorporating Bovington, East Burton & Wool

Level of priority H = High M = Medium L = Low

REF. NO.	ISSUE	PARISH SURVEY BACKGROUND/EVIDENCE	PROPOSED ACTION	LEAD & PARTNERS	PURBECK DISTRICT COUNCIL RESPONSE AND RECOMMENDATION
1.	GENERAL				
1.1	Maintain Wool as a village Priority H	The survey identified that the majority of respondents feel strongly that Wool should maintain its village status. That local people should be prioritised for new housing in small developments by infill & reduce the 11% loss of extended family support.	Ensure that Purbeck District Council is made aware of the views and concerns of Wool residents regarding future development and their wish to maintain Wool as a village.	PC PDC	Recommendation: Acknowledge as a record of fact 100% of affordable housing in settlements of fewer than 3000 is now advertised with a priority given to local people. This includes Wool, Bovington and East Burton. Recommendation: Support
1.2	Promote responsible pet ownership Priority H	28% of households keep a dog/s 20% of households keep a cat/s	Promote local dog training classes and ensure that information about responsible pet ownership and pets' impact on the local environment is made available at the Library, D'Urberville Hall, Cologne Rd, etc.	PC PDC	Natural England leaflet 'You and your dog in the countryside' is available at http://naturalengland.etraderstores.com/NaturalEnglandShop/CA205 Natural England 'Dorset Dogs' information for dogs & their owners. http://www.dorsetwildlifetrust.org.uk/dorset-dogs.html Recommendation: Support
1.3	Lack of dog exercise area Priority H	Parish Council is seeking to ban dogs from the D'Urberville recreation field.	Explore potential for dog exercise areas both within the built environment and on the outskirts.	PC	Dorset Wildlife Trust Wetland area at Tadnoll Old Prison Fields incorporates a dog friendly walk where dogs can be let off the lead. http://www.dorsetwildlifetrust.org.uk/c2/uploads/tadnoll%20%20winfrith%20nr%20leaflet1.pdf Recommendation: Acknowledge as a record of fact

REF. NO.	ISSUE	PARISH SURVEY BACKGROUND/EVIDENCE	PROPOSED ACTION	LEAD & PARTNERS	PURBECK DISTRICT COUNCIL RESPONSE AND RECOMMENDATION
1.4	Dog fouling Priority H	30% of comments on 'what spoils our area' noted dog fouling.	Investigate need for additional dog bins and identify sites.	PC PC	If dog fouling sites are identified Purbeck District Council can affix 'no fouling' signage. If good evidence is available, dog owners failing to remove fouling may be served with fixed penalty notice. Additional funding would be required for dog bins. Recommendation: Investigate
2.	SOCIAL ACTIVITIES & COMMUNITY FACILITIES				
2.1	Extension of D'Urberville Hall Priority H	22% of households want more leisure/youth activities; 20% want more keeping healthy /sport activities (all ages); 14% want village hall improvements.	Provide community with updates on the extension to the Village Hall and the proposed activities, e.g. notice board progress and timetable.	PC	A good idea to keep the community involved and updated with projects. Further projects developing from the extension would be supported. Recommendation: Support
2.2	Provision for young people at D'Urberville Hall Priority H		Ensure that young people are consulted and involved in the decisions about the youth provision at D'Urberville Hall.	PC Youth Club	A good idea to ask the younger people of the village, what they require. Will assist if further work is needed with this age group. Recommendation: Support
2.3	21 Cologne Road Priority H	In Bovington East 30% of households want more leisure/youth activities; 20% want more keeping healthy /sport activities (all ages); 21% want more meeting places.	Support development of 21 Cologne Road as a community facility for Bovington East.	PC PDC Synergy Purbeck Woolbridge Practice	Change of use planning application will be submitted shortly. The aim is to provide a meeting room, shop and flat. Recommendation: Implement
2.4	Community Activities Priority H	20% of households expressed dissatisfaction with the activities available in Wool parish 15% of households proposed additional activities.	Map existing community activities across the parish and promote through a range of media, e.g. Parish Magazine, Notice boards, Spar, Mace,	PC Community groups/ centres	Purbeck District Council may be able to offer support with details of local groups and organisations, as long as data protection is not an issue. Recommendation: Support

REF. NO.	ISSUE	PARISH SURVEY BACKGROUND/EVIDENCE	PROPOSED ACTION	LEAD & PARTNERS	PURBECK DISTRICT COUNCIL RESPONSE AND RECOMMENDATION
			Wool Village Stores, the Hive and Wool Library, parish website/s.		
2.5	Access to cultural activities Priority M	29% of households expressed dissatisfaction with film showings; 26% were dissatisfied with arts & music activities.	Explore potential for Wool parish venue/s for Purbeck Film Festival.	Purbeck Film Festival Moviola	Recommendation: Support
2.6	Access to cultural activities for older people Priority H	34% of the residents of Wool Parish are over 60, and 12% of the residents are over 75.	Investigate and promote existing transport links to enable older people to access cultural/leisure activities, e.g. REX Wareham, and the Lighthouse, Poole.	NORDCAT Wool Senior Forum POPSS PDC DCC MOD	Recommendation: Acknowledge as a record of fact
2.7	Access to leisure & sports activities for older people Priority H		Investigate and promote existing transport links to access Wareham or Dorchester sports centres. Investigate possibility of community use of Bovington swimming pool.		Officers at Purbeck Sports Centre are keen to support the promotion of existing transport links to Wareham to access sporting facilities. Recommendation: Support
2.8	Library Priority H	96% of households wanted to retain the then level of service. 74% wanted the service expanded.	Oppose any proposals to further reduce library service and set up a library user/supporter group.	PC PDC DCC Friends of Dorset Libraries	Recommendation: Acknowledge as a record of fact
2.9	Post Offices in Wool and Bovington	89% of households agreed that it is very important to keep Post Offices open.	PC to regularly provide information on potential threats to the Post Offices and	PC	Recommendation: Support

REF. NO.	ISSUE	PARISH SURVEY BACKGROUND/EVIDENCE	PROPOSED ACTION	LEAD & PARTNERS	PURBECK DISTRICT COUNCIL RESPONSE AND RECOMMENDATION
	Priority H		to promote the service, 'use it or lose it'.		
2.10			PC to take action to support the continued provision of 2 Post Offices in Wool Parish.	PC PDC DCA	Purbeck District Council is opposed to the loss of any post office services. Recommendation: Support
2.11	Lack of 'no charge' ATM in Wool Priority H	52% of the households said that banking facilities were needed.	Explore the possibility of a 'no charge' ATM or free to use cash back facilities in Wool.	PC	Recommendation: Acknowledge as a record of fact
2.12	Public conveniences Priority H	26% of households wanted more public toilets.	Install effective signage with directions from the station and opening times for the public conveniences.	PC PDC Network Rail FOWS	Suggest that location of public convenience be included on information board provided by FOWS. Recommendation: Support
3.	TRANSPORT AND TRAVEL				
3.1	Parking Priority M	Households in Wool East 49%, and Wool West 40%, identified a greater need for parking restrictions compared with other parts of the Parish.	Review current parking provision across the Parish and consider introducing restrictions.	PC DCC	Recommendation: Acknowledge as a record of fact
3.2		22% of households wanted more parking to access facilities.	Provide clear signage to D'Urberville Hall car park.	PC	Recommendation: Acknowledge as a record of fact
3.3	Sustainable travel and transport – general Priority M	18% of households said they would be willing to join a car-share scheme. 70% of residents use a car 5 or more days /week.	Provide information on Dorset Car-Share and other sustainable transport options.	PDC DCC	Source Dorset carshare information leaflets from http://www.carsharedorset.com/ Carshare Dorset Administrator email: Travelchoice@dorsetcc.gov.uk tel: 01305 225085 . Recommendation: Support

REF. NO.	ISSUE	PARISH SURVEY BACKGROUND/EVIDENCE	PROPOSED ACTION	LEAD & PARTNERS	PURBECK DISTRICT COUNCIL RESPONSE AND RECOMMENDATION
3.4	Sustainable travel and transport – businesses Priority M		Promote sustainable travel and encourage local businesses to consider adopting green travel plans.	PDC DCC	Accords with draft Core Strategy. Purbeck District Council promotes sustainable travel and encourages local based businesses to consider developing green travel plans. Recommendation: Endorse
3.5	Bus Services Priority H	Only 7% of residents had used the Dial a Ride, and more than half of these were dissatisfied.	Continue to press Dorset County Council to restore the scheduled service and review performance of Dial a Ride.	PC DCC	Recommendation: Acknowledge as a record of fact
3.6	Wool Railway station Priority H	70% of residents use the train at least once a month. But 28% of the suggestions to increase usage of public transport referred to the rail.	Support the enhancement of Wool station and service.	PC FOWS	Accords with draft Core Strategy. Recommendation: Support
3.7		78% of households said that maps at the station showing paths, rights of way, and cycle ways would encourage use of these routes.	Make available maps of local rights of way, paths, and cycle ways.	FOWS PHC	The enhancement of Wool station would meet the aims of proposal PA3 in the Purbeck Heritage Strategy “Encourage people to visit attractions using public transport through improved information provision (website, printed publicity and at bus stops and train stations.” This proposal is therefore supported, and officer input offered to assist with the information provision aspect of this. Recommendation: Support
3.8	Cycle hire Priority H	68% of the households said that new businesses in small-scale tourism and leisure were appropriate for the Parish.	Explore potential for cycle hire at Wool Station.	PC FOWS Cycle Hire Provider	This could be delivered as part of the proposal above to support the enhancement of Wool station. Recommendation: Support

REF. NO.	ISSUE	PARISH SURVEY BACKGROUND/EVIDENCE	PROPOSED ACTION	LEAD & PARTNERS	PURBECK DISTRICT COUNCIL RESPONSE AND RECOMMENDATION
3.9	Cycle Routes Priority H	58% of households said that a network of cycle lanes would improve road safety. 48% of residents use a cycle at least once a month.	Identify safe cycle routes include routes to Monkey World & Tank Museum.	PC PDC DCC Monkey World Tank Museum	This proposal is supported and has been investigated in the past. Recommendation: Support
3.10	Accessibility Dropped Kerbs Priority H	50% of households said more dropped kerbs were needed.	Identify if there is a need for dropped kerbs. Wellbridge Practice to host a letter-box to collect notes identifying locations.	PC Wellbridge Practice DCC Road Safety Team	Dorset County Council to respond Recommendation: Acknowledge as a record of fact
3.11	Overgrown hedges Priority H	For households experiencing mobility problems 27% noted the need for better hedge trimming.	Identify areas of concern and liaise with DCC to address the issue.	PC DCC	Some of the hedges may be on Purbeck District Council land, so individual problem areas need to be identified and ownership established. Housing Trusts may also have some responsibility. Recommendation: Acknowledge as a record of fact
4.	ROAD SAFETY				
4.1	Speed Priority H	61% of households said that speed reduction measures were needed in the Parish.	Identify the need for Speed Indicator Device or similar.	PC DCC	Dorset County Council to respond Recommendation: Acknowledge as a record of fact
4.2	Review current speed restrictions Priority H	Households were in favour of reducing speed limits on main roads 40%, residential roads 64% and approach roads 44%.	Review current speed restrictions and signs at Lulworth Road, Dorchester Road near Barratt's estate, Cologne Road, Colliers Lane, etc.	PC DCC	Dorset County Council to respond Recommendation: Acknowledge as a record of fact

REF. NO.	ISSUE	PARISH SURVEY BACKGROUND/EVIDENCE	PROPOSED ACTION	LEAD & PARTNERS	PURBECK DISTRICT COUNCIL RESPONSE AND RECOMMENDATION
4.3	Development Gain Priority H	From 2007 to 2010 Purbeck District Council has given planning permission for the number of dwellings in Wool village to grow by over 20%, WITHOUT appropriate new facilities for the population.	Petition Purbeck District Council for an equitable distribution of these funds.	PC PDC	Recommendation: Acknowledge as a record of fact
4.4	Street lighting, Light pollution and energy saving Priority H	16% of households were concerned about light pollution.	'Switch off' campaign to promote energy saving and reduce light pollution. Street lights to be switched off between 12.30 – 4.30 am.	PC DCC	Dorset County Council to respond Recommendation: Acknowledge as a record of fact
4.5	Footpaths & pavements Priority H	In East Burton 47% of households said that public rights of way were not well maintained.	Ensure that footpath thoroughfare from East Burton to Wool is maintained, i.e. Giddy Green footpath.	PC DCC	Dorset County Council to respond Recommendation: Acknowledge as a record of fact
4.6	Footpaths & pavements Priority H	71% of East Burton households said that more footways/pavements were needed, as did 55% Of Wool East households.	Approach DCC regarding installation of pavement/s on, Burton Road, East Burton Road and Lulworth Road.	PC DCC	Dorset County Council to respond Recommendation: Acknowledge as a record of fact
4.7	Safe School crossing Priority H	73% of Bovington West households said that a pedestrian crossing was needed to improve safety.	Approach DCC to provide a school crossing patrol at Bovington First School.	PC MOD DCC	Dorset County Council to respond Recommendation: Acknowledge as a record of fact

REF. NO.	ISSUE	PARISH SURVEY BACKGROUND/EVIDENCE	PROPOSED ACTION	LEAD & PARTNERS	PURBECK DISTRICT COUNCIL RESPONSE AND RECOMMENDATION
4.8	School travel plans Priority H	42% of households with children take them to school by car, and 70% said they would be willing for their child to join a 'walking bus'.	Approach all schools to consider developing school travel plans.	PC Local Schools DCC	Dorset County Council to respond Contact Dorset County Council School Travel Plan Co-ordinator Recommendation: Support
5	ENVIRONMENT & OPEN SPACES				
5.1	Conservation of the local environment Priority H	For each of the wildlife habitats in Wool Parish, (woods, water meadows, farmland, heaths, LNR, trees, hedgerows, gardens), more than 81% of households agreed that these should be protected from development.	Explore potential for setting up a conservation group for protection and enhancement of the local environment, e.g. water meadows, etc., and carry out an ecological survey.	Local Volunteers PDC DCC PHC DWT Lulworth Estate	Recommendation: Support
5.2	Wool circular walks Priority H	77% of households were in favour of a variety of circular walks around Wool Parish being developed, way-marked and published.	Create circular walks around the parish.	PC Local Historian PDC FOWS Station	This proposal is supported as implementing part of the Purbeck Heritage Strategy. Limited officer advice could be given to assist with this project. Recommendation: Support
5.3	Website Priority H	More than 92% of households expressed interest in preserving the variety of rural landscapes surrounding the Parish, a website would be cost effective in disseminating information.	Explore the potential for developing a website to promote protection and enhancement of the environment.	Conservation Group CPRE	Suggest the PC talk to the Dorset for You team about this as the D4U development plan includes a new Town and Parish Council's section. Recommendation: Support
5.4	Footpaths Priority H	52% of the households said that replacing stiles with gates would enable more people to use the paths.	Explore need to change stiles to gates on local footpaths. Identify sites and approach responsible body.	Conservation group PDC PHC	Stile have been removed and replaced with gates on the Lawrence Trail, and this project accords proposal PA 6 in the Purbeck Heritage Strategy. This proposal is therefore

REF. NO.	ISSUE	PARISH SURVEY BACKGROUND/EVIDENCE	PROPOSED ACTION	LEAD & PARTNERS	PURBECK DISTRICT COUNCIL RESPONSE AND RECOMMENDATION
				DCC	supported. Recommendation: Support
5.5	Allotments. Priority H	Bovington households expressed a need for allotments, (Bovington East 25% & West 27%).	Progress provision of allotments in Bovington.	PC MOD, Landowners	Recommendation: Acknowledge as a record of fact
5.6	Play area at Higher Wood Priority M	Both East & West Bovington households expressed a need more play areas (24%) and recreation grounds (25%).	Investigate if there is a need for a play area at Higher Wood.	PC PDC	There is a play area at Swinton Avenue which is 500m from an existing play site. Understand the issues with crossing the road, young people hanging around shop. Recommendation: Support
5.7	Litter Priority H	26% of households noted that litter spoils Wool Parish, with the greater problem occurring in Wool East (30%) and Bovington West (37%).	Review current litter management. Identify areas of concern and report to Purbeck District Council.	PC PDC	Any significant litter should be reported to Purbeck District Council waste management team for action. To report litter online http://www.dorsetforyou.com/396337 Recommendation: Investigate
5.8	Fly tipping Priority H	10% of households noted fly tipping as spoiling Wool Parish, with the greater problem in Bovington, (East 17%, and West 14%).	Identify areas of concern and report to Purbeck District Council.	PC PDC CPRE	Any fly tipping should be reported to P Purbeck District Council waste management team for action. Recommendation: Investigate
5.9	Public Footpaths & Public Bridleways Priority H	Households said that the paths were unsuitable for push chairs or the less able-bodied 73% and 28% experienced conflict between the needs of walkers, cyclists & horse riders.	Review condition of all footpaths & bridleways across the parish and identify any concerns.	Conservation Group DCC	Dorset County Council to respond Recommendation: Acknowledge as a record of fact

REF. NO.	ISSUE	PARISH SURVEY BACKGROUND/EVIDENCE	PROPOSED ACTION	LEAD & PARTNERS	PURBECK DISTRICT COUNCIL RESPONSE AND RECOMMENDATION
5.10	Damage to footpaths and open spaces Priority H	Only 15% of the households said that natural open spaces around Wool Parish are being damaged by over-use.	Organise local photo competition to raise public awareness of damage to footpaths and natural open spaces as a result of inappropriate/thoughtless use, e.g. horse riding, cycling, etc.	Conservation group (Website)	Recommendation: Support
5.11	Tree planting Priority H	28% of households identified sites for tree planting.	Identify if there is a need for trees to be planted if so where, then approach relevant authority or body.	Conservation Group PDC	Purbeck District Council identified as a lead/partner, but only if sites are Purbeck District Council owned. If sites are road verges, DCC will be lead/partner. Social housing sites, housing trusts will be lead partner. Ownership needs to be established before action can be taken. Purbeck District Council has no tree planting budget. Recommendation – Acknowledge as a record of fact
5.12	Protection and conservation of Bovington Middle School site Priority H	Bovington Middle School grounds are extensive, biodiverse, and adjacent to the LNR 8 Acre Coppice, providing a wild life corridor. 97% of households agreed to protect LNRs.	In the event of the closure of Bovington Middle School, ensure that the biodiversity of the site is protected and conserved. Explore the potential to form a 'friends of' group. (Or extend the remit of the adjacent 8 Acre Coppice LNR management & friends groups).	Conservation group DCC	Recommendation: Acknowledge as a record of fact

REF. NO.	ISSUE	PARISH SURVEY BACKGROUND/EVIDENCE	PROPOSED ACTION	LEAD & PARTNERS	PURBECK DISTRICT COUNCIL RESPONSE AND RECOMMENDATION
6.	HOUSING NEED				
6.1	Housing need Priority H	11% of households have had family members move away due to housing costs in Wool Parish.	Ensure that Purbeck District Council is made aware of the local housing needs of Wool parish.	PC PDC Synergy Purbeck Strategic Housing Partnership	100% of affordable housing in settlements of fewer than 3000 is now advertised through HomeChoice with a priority given to local people. This includes Wool, Bovington and East Burton. Recommendation: Implement
6.2	Affordable Housing Priority H	48% of those individuals or households needing to move were looking for affordable or reduced cost accommodation rather than owner occupied or private rented.	Investigate the potential for undertaking a Housing Needs Survey.	PC PDC	Work with the Parish Council to produce a Housing Needs Survey in 2011/12. Recommendation: Implement
6.3	Stair lifts, extensions & other adaptations. Priority H	In 44% of those households that reported a member needing to move, the respondents thought modifications to their property could be a solution.	Raise awareness of the support available for people needing adaptations for their homes.	POPPS Anchor Staying Put Wool Senior Forum PDC Synergy DCC	Information on disable facilities grants. Recommendation: Endorse
7.	ENERGY EFFICIENCY & SUSTAINABILITY ISSUES				
7.1	Flooding Priority M	3% of households reported flooding during their occupancy of their current property.	Signpost people to advice on how to deal with flooding, e.g. get sandbags.	PC PDC	Purbeck District Council Flooding/Land Drainage information on Dorset for You website http://www.dorsetforyou.com/396623 Environment agency advice on flooding http://www.environment-agency.gov.uk/homeandleisure/floods/default

REF. NO.	ISSUE	PARISH SURVEY BACKGROUND/EVIDENCE	PROPOSED ACTION	LEAD & PARTNERS	PURBECK DISTRICT COUNCIL RESPONSE AND RECOMMENDATION
					lt.aspx . Recommendation: Support
7.2	Recycling, sustainable transport, energy efficiency Priority H	30% of households were dissatisfied with fortnightly bin collections, 63% expressed an interest in installing home energy generation, or insulation.	Organise an event to promote sustainability issues, e.g. recycling, composting, Love food Hate waste, energy efficiency & home insulation grants, sustainable travel, etc.	PDC DA21	Purbeck District Council waste and energy conservation officers will attend proposed event. Recommendation: Implement
8.	HEALTH & WELLBEING				
8.1	Outreach care at 21 Cologne Road Priority H	Households in Bovington East reported greater difficulty accessing health services (e.g. 8% reported difficulty accessing a nurse compared with 3% in whole Parish).	Provide a range of outreach medical services (to be identified) at 21 Cologne Road. (See Social Activities and Community Facilities 2).	Wellbridge Practice Share & Care PDC PHC DCC	Recommendation: Investigate
8.2	Advocacy Priority H	11% of Wool Parish residents have a disability or long term illness and 5% of residents are carers.	Raise awareness of the advocacy and support services that are available and explore potential for outreach provision in parish.	PC CAB POPPS	Recommendation: Support
9.	PERSONAL & COMMUNITY SAFETY				
9.1	Home Watch Priority H	55% of households reported no Home Watch scheme in their area.	Revitalise the Home Watch scheme by recruiting more members.	PC PACT	Recommendation: Support
9.2	Reporting crime Priority H	Households across the parish reported feeling unsafe out and about (10%), unsafe in their homes (3%).	Raise awareness of how to report crimes to the Police, and make relevant phone numbers available.	PC PACT	Recommendation: Support

REF. NO.	ISSUE	PARISH SURVEY BACKGROUND/EVIDENCE	PROPOSED ACTION	LEAD & PARTNERS	PURBECK DISTRICT COUNCIL RESPONSE AND RECOMMENDATION
9.3	Police presence Priority H	For Bovington East these figures were 16% and 11% respectively.	Address local concern over lack of police presence/visibility. Explore potential for a 'drop in' facility in the parish, e.g. 21 Cologne Rd, Parish Council office.	PC PACT	Recommendation: Support
10.	EDUCATION, TRAINING & EMPLOYMENT				
10.1	Adult Education/ Training facilities Priority M	Around 300 residents expressed an interest adult education, training available locally. 15% of these wanted computing/ communications courses, & 12% basic skills.	Identify sites where local adult education/training can take place, e.g. local schools, Tank Museum, Dorset Green. Work with Education providers to identify nature of courses.	PC PDC DCC U3A Other providers	Recommendation: Support
10.2	Business support Priority M	55% of the households felt that support & opportunities for small businesses in Wool Parish were poor.	Promote business support i.e. advice, training, availability of workspace/premises, for existing and potential start up businesses.	PC PDC Business Link	Recommendation: Support
11.	COMMUNITY SPIRIT				
11.1	Community cohesion & participation Priority H	The survey identified that many respondents felt that there was a lack of community spirit across the Parish. 49% of Bovington households felt that Bovington has no community spirit.	To encourage community participation in parish affairs request that the Parish Council considers rotating Parish Council meetings more frequently around the parish, to include Bovington and East Burton & work towards	PC	Recommendation: Acknowledge as a record of fact

REF. NO.	ISSUE	PARISH SURVEY BACKGROUND/EVIDENCE	PROPOSED ACTION	LEAD & PARTNERS	PURBECK DISTRICT COUNCIL RESPONSE AND RECOMMENDATION
			proportional representation on the Parish Council.		
11.2	Community cohesion & participation Priority M	In some areas of the Parish Plan questionnaires were rejected because householders thought that they were not part of Wool Parish.	To promote a greater sense of belonging consider changing the name of Wool Parish to one that reflects the parish's geographical make up.	PC PDC	If the Parish Council wished to proceed they should put in a formal request to Purbeck District Council. Recommendation: Endorse subject to members' views.
12.	FUTURE DEVELOPMENT OF WOOL PARISH				
12.1	Type & scale of future development Priority H	79% of households wish any future housing to be in keeping with Wool character (pre 2006).	Resist pressure for development that does not arise from the local need of the Parish.	PC	Recommend Acknowledge as a record of fact
12.2		If more housing is to be built in Wool Parish, 60% of households want it to be small, infill developments, not large developments on greenfield sites, and for these to meet local need such as defined by Purbeck Community Partnership (58%).	Develop guidelines to ensure that future development in Wool is sympathetic and conforms to the general (pre 2006) character of Wool, i.e. low rise, low density housing and ensure that the style, density and size of Purbeck Gate development is not used as a precedent for future development.	PC	Accords with draft Core Strategy. New legislation PPS3 passed recently mean that gardens will no longer be listed as Brownfield sites, or "development land", altering a council's obligation to meet targets for the proportion of new homes that must be built on previously developed. Recommendation: Acknowledge as a record of fact
12.3	Protect garden habitats from Infill housing development Priority H	84% of households said that garden infilling should only be allowed if the resultant gardens were large enough to maintain the rural character & quality of life. But 59% would wish the	PC to liaise with Purbeck District Council on such planning applications.	PC PDC	Need to define 'garden habitat'? Unless this is properly defined the action is meaningless. It doesn't take into account the fact that gardens can be lost through the laying of hard surfaces such as patios under permitted development rights. Gardens laid to grass have little biodiversity

REF. NO.	ISSUE	PARISH SURVEY BACKGROUND/EVIDENCE	PROPOSED ACTION	LEAD & PARTNERS	PURBECK DISTRICT COUNCIL RESPONSE AND RECOMMENDATION
		practice to be discontinued entirely. 88% wanted gardens protected for wildlife.			value. So simply by resisting infill, gardens are not going to be conserved for wildlife. Recommendation: Acknowledge as a record of fact

UNLESS OTHERWISE STATED - WHOLE PARISH DATA HAS BEEN QUOTED IN BACKGROUND/EVIDENCE COLUMN

ABBREVIATIONS			
CAB	Citizens Advice Bureau	PACT	Police & Community Together
CPRE	Campaign for the Protection of Rural England	PC	Parish Council
DA21	Dorset Agenda 21	PCT	Primary Care Trust
DCA	Dorset Community Association	PDC	Purbeck District Council
DCC	Dorset County Council	PHC	Purbeck Heritage Committee
DWT	Dorset Wildlife Trust	POPPS	Partnership Of Older People's Projects
FOWS	Friends Of Wool Station	U3A	University Of The 3 rd Age
MOD	Ministry of Defence		

Purbeck District Council final assessment of the action plan was made using the following recommendations:

ENDORSE

The specific recommendation will be accepted by the Council and taken into account in District Council decision making (for example in development control decisions on planning applications)

IMPLEMENT

The specific recommendation will be accepted in principle and subject to financial, procedural or legal constraints implemented

INVESTIGATE

Further information to be obtained (by the Parish/Town Council or other agency) in order to establish if the specific recommendation can be supported.

SUPPORT

The specific recommendation relates to a matter that is not the responsibility of Purbeck District Council. However the Council will offer support to the community to achieve its implementation where appropriate.

NOT SUPPORTED

The specific recommendation relates to a matter which is contrary to the policies and objectives of the Council or is beyond the power of the Council.

ACKNOWLEDGE AS A RECORD OF FACT

The specific recommendation is acknowledged as a record of fact identified through a comprehensive consultation process.

